

**PLANNING COMMITTEE 6 March 2012**  
**LIST OF LATE ITEMS RECEIVED AFTER PREPARATION OF MAIN AGENDA:**

---

**ITEM 01**

**12/00075/FUL**

**Emmaus Community Leicestershire &  
Rutland**

**Introduction:-**

Additional information has been received providing more specific details on how the community would be run. These include:-

- Emmaus Carlton, Bedfordshire Application and Admission procedure
- Emmaus Coventry and Warwickshire Licence to Occupy Accommodation From.
- Emmaus Village Carlton, Bedfordshire guidelines.

A further 7 day consultation period was undertaken, which expired upon 5 March, and copies sent to all Ward Members.

**Consultations:-**

A further 42 objections have been received raising the following additional concerns:-

- u) the safety and security of children
- v) most unwise for the council to place more vulnerable people directly in to this area
- w) six months of being clean of drugs and alcohol is not long enough
- x) there are pubs, a supermarket and shops in close proximity of the site where alcohol is easily accessible
- y) the proposal is in close proximity to schools, nursery's and a dance academy
- z) noise and disturbance.

A petition containing 173 signatures has been received objecting to the application.

A further eight letters of support have been received with the following additional comments:-

- g) well run with safeguards in place made with the welfare of local residents in mind
- h) will retain the building in its current format
- i) will support people working towards integration back into the community without the negatives that others in the area are predicting
- j) example of 'Big Society' in action
- k) well respected organisation with 60 year experience
- l) as people will be off drugs and alcohol before they move in, it is an appropriate location
- m) homeless people are ordinary people who have fallen on hard times.

**Appraisal:-**

Following concerns raised by local resident's further information was obtained on how the community would be run and the rules and regulations the residents would be expected to maintain. Information was also sought from Coventry City Council Environmental Health and the Police to establish if there have been any recording incidents of anti-social behaviour or noise complaints associated with the Coventry community.

The applicant has indicated that the Hinckley community would have very similar rules, and the Licence that residents all sign up to clearly states that residents may be asked to leave if they break the rules. Therefore there are procedures in place for dealing with residents who break the rules.

Coventry City Council does not have any history of reports of anti social behaviour or noise complaints associated with the community. Coventry police have also responded with no recorded incidents.

Whilst the above is not a material planning consideration, it was sought in answer to the objections received regarding these issues.

Additional concerns raised are not considered to be material planning considerations or they have been addressed in the body of the main report.

---

**ITEM 02**

**11/00815/FUL**

**Mr John Price**

**Introduction:-**

For information, the appeal decision has now been received for the proposed Gypsy and Traveller site at Hissar House Farm. This appeal was dismissed. The details of this decision and its relevance to the current application will be discussed below.

**Consultations:-**

The Environment Agency have raised no objections to the application but have stated that the Private Treatment Plant associated with the development will require an Environmental Permit under the Environmental Permitting Regulations 2010 from the Environment Agency.

For clarification, a letter of objection was received on the 02.12.11 from County Councillor Ould. This was included in the original 14 letters of representation which were reported and appraised within the main body of the report.

A further letter of objection has been received by MP David Tredinnick. This reiterates points made in initial submission and reaffirms his objection to the application. A request is also made that his copies of his letter be made available to the Councillors prior to the committee meeting.

One further letter of neighbour representation has been received, this raises no new issues.

A third letter of objection has been received from Marrons.

**Appraisal:-**

Appeal Decision Hissar House Farm

The appeal has been dismissed on the grounds of the harm to the appearance, character and effectiveness of the Green Wedge. The inspector's consideration of the personal circumstances and needs of the applicant are somewhat relevant to this application.

The inspector considered that in the absence of information in respect of their current accommodation, no evidence was available that the human rights of the applicant would be adversely affected.

Whilst the personal circumstances of gypsy applicants is always requested and considered this information needs to be balanced alongside the development plan policies applicable to the proposal. In the Hissar House appeal the inspector correctly identified that there was a conflict between Policy 6 (Green Wedge) and Policy 18 (Gypsy and Traveller) and sought to balance the conflict and arising harm within the context of the personal circumstances and needs of the applicants.

In respect of this application there is no strict policy conflict as the primary policy in respect of gypsy site proposals is Policy 18 of the Core Strategy which sets a series of requirements that such proposals should satisfy. Within this context Condition 4 has been deleted and replaced with a condition which seeks to ensure that the application site is occupied solely by persons defined as having Gypsy / Traveller status in line with the definition within Circular 01/06 and to ensure compliance with Policy 18. .

Saved Policy NE5's more restrictive approach is relevant but of limited weight as it has been superseded by the more recent provision of Policy 18 as derived from Circular 01/06 which itself remains a primary material consideration. The inspector for the Good Friday Appeal confirms this by giving no significant

consideration to the application of Policy NE5. The Good Friday Site was considered against the requirements of Policies T5, CS18 and Circular 01/06 only and significant inquiry time was spent discussing the correct development plan policies and the peripheral relevance of Policy NE5.

In considering the requirements of Policy 18 it is important to note that there is no criterion within the policy that requires the needs and circumstances of the applicants to be considered. In addition the policy makes reference to the Council's commitment to provide residential and transit site gypsy pitches within the context of the policy's criterion and as such implies a presumption in favour of such development. Of course the personal circumstances should and have been considered and it has been previously concluded that the current proposal generally satisfies the policy's requirements for the reasons set out in the main agenda report. Accordingly, further interrogation of the applicants and occupiers personal circumstances is not considered to be necessary because of the policy presumption in favour of development where the criterion are satisfied.

### Marrons Letter Three

#### Gypsy and Traveller Need

The Local Planning Authority has assessed the site in terms of its suitability to provide Gypsy and Traveller pitches and is satisfied that it meets the requirements within Core Strategy Policy 18 and Circular 01/06. In line with this, Condition 4 has now been deleted and replaced with a condition restricting occupancy to Gypsy and Travellers in general, and not to the specific applicants in question. There is a shortfall in the provision of Gypsy and Traveller sites within the Borough and this site will contribute towards this provision.

Marrons consider that the justification for the application is based on the personal needs of the applicants, (with occupancy controlled by condition 4) and therefore it is inappropriate for the site to be seen as contributing to the need for additional gypsy and traveller sites within the borough. In response to this, as explained within the paragraph above, Condition 4 has now been deleted and replaced with a condition restricting occupancy to Gypsy and Travellers in general, and not to the specific applicants in question. Accordingly, regardless of whether the applicants and their family move from the site, it will still remain a Gypsy and Traveller site and thus will contribute towards local need.

#### Proximity of settlements/services (sustainability)

Marrons is of the opinion that the site is not sustainable and states that the LPA are wrong to rely on the previous permission to conclude that the site is sustainable. In appraising the current application, the specific needs and circumstances in question have been taken into account in determining whether or not this site is sustainable. Reference to the previous application was made to provide background evidence.

As discussed within the main body of the report, although the site is not within, or immediately adjacent to a settlement boundary; due to the distances from the surrounding service centres, and the bus stop which is within close proximity of the site entrance and offers an hourly bus service between 6am and 6pm Monday - Saturday, the site is considered to be a reasonable distance from local services and facilities. Further, within the village of Higham on the Hill, which is approximately 500m from the site, there is a Post Office, a Nursery School, a Primary School and a Church. There is no definition of what constitutes a 'reasonable distance' within policy, and thus it is considered that the site is within a 'reasonable distance' of settlements/services.

#### Scale

In 2007 there were 307 households within Higham on the Hill. By virtue of the relatively small scale of the proposal (for four families), within the context of the 307 households which exist in the village, for the reasons discussed within the paragraph above, it is considered that the development proposed would be appropriate to the scale of Higham on the Hill and its services and infrastructure. Marrons goes on to state that there is an over proliferation of Gypsy and Traveller sites within a close proximity of Higham. One pitch exists at the application site, and two pitches exist at The Paddock Watling Street Higham. The latter, whilst having an address as Higham on the Hill is distant from the settlement and is therefore

not considered to be within Higham on the Hill village. Accordingly, it is not considered that there is an over-proliferation within Higham on the Hill and the surrounding area.

### Highway Safety

Revised observations have been received from the Director of Environment and Transport (Highways) confirming that in absence of the condition there are no Highway Safety objections.

### Safe and Healthy Environment for Residents

Marrons have raised concerns that emergency vehicles and specifically fire engines would have difficulty accessing the site. The Good Practice Guide – Designing Gypsy Sites confirms that all caravans must be within 50 metres of a road to allow for appropriate emergency vehicle access. There can be no doubt that all of the application site is within 50 metres of Stoke Lane. Accordingly it is concluded that the site meets the requirement for emergency vehicles.

Concerns have also been raised in respect of the safety of the children on the site. The site is enclosed by a solid fence and has a gated entrance to provide security to site occupiers.

The Environment Agency has confirmed that the use of a Package Sewage Treatment Plant will be an acceptable method of foul drainage for the proposed site. Mains Water and Electricity are available in the locality and thus it is assumed that these services will be available, in a similar way that they would be available for a private residential dwelling. A condition will be placed on the application requiring the submission of details of the method of foul drainage.

### Design and Layout

Marrons have raised concerns that as the amenity building is no longer being included within the application submission, that the site does not adhere to requirements within the 'Good Practice Guide' and therefore does not comply with policy 18 of the Core Strategy and should be refused. A condition will be placed on the application requiring the submission of details of proposed amenity provisions within the site to ensure compliance with the Good Practice Guide.

### Character of the Countryside

Marrons have referred to a recent appeal decision where the need for additional pitches was balanced against the protection of the countryside. This appeal was dismissed, and need was not considered to outweigh harm to the countryside. Each application is considered on its specific merits. In the main body of the report, impact on the character of the countryside has been weighed against the need to provide Gypsy and Traveller pitches. It has been concluded in this case that the need for pitch provision is paramount .

### Other Considerations

Marrons have again raised ecology related concerns. In response to this, the ecology survey for Great Crested Newts has been considered as acceptable by the Directorate of Chief Executive LCC Ecology.

It has been stated that within the Officer Report it was mentioned that the numbers of vehicles each caravan could have would be restricted to one. Following further consideration of this point, it has been considered that due to the fact that it is a private site, it would be unreasonable to restrict vehicles in this way and any such condition would not be compliant with Circular 11/95. Instead a condition has been suggested restricting vehicles over 3.5 tonnes from being stationed, parked or stored on the site.

The amenity building/stable block has again been referred to. For clarification, this building does not form part of the current application.

**Recommendation:-**

Condition 4 deleted and replaced with:-

The site shall not be occupied by any persons other than gypsies and travellers as defined in paragraph 15 of ODPM Circular 01/2006.

Reason 4 deleted and replaced with:- It is only because of the justification supplied in respect of the needs of gypsies and travellers, and the guidance contained in Circular 01/2006, that planning permission has been granted for this use.

**Additional Condition 10**

10 No development shall commence until details of foul drainage provision have been submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning. The approved drainage system shall be fully installed and operational prior to the commencement of development and shall remain available for use thereafter.

Reason: To ensure compliance with Policy NE14 of the adopted Hinckley and Bosworth Local Plan.

**Additional Condition 11**

11 No development shall commence until details of on site amenity provisions (including details of sanitation and washing facilities) have been submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning. The approved provisions shall be fully installed and operational prior to the commencement of development and shall remain available for use thereafter.

Reason: To ensure compliance with Policy 18 of the adopted Core Strategy.

---

**ITEM 03**

**12/00008/LBC**

**Mr Shaun Curtis**

**Recommendation:-**

Condition 2 amended as follows:-

The works hereby permitted shall not be carried out otherwise than in complete accordance with the submitted details: OS Sitemap (1:1250); OS Sitemap (1:500); Proposed Plans Drawing No 5315 Rev B, Drawing No 5316 Rev B and Heritage Statement received by the Local Planning Authority on 9 January 2012 and Drg Ref:- Glazed Partition Detail Scale 1:20 received by the Local Planning Authority on the 17 February 2012.

**Additional Condition**

4 Prior to the commencement of works hereby permitted a detailed method statement and drawings for the construction of all new fixtures, fittings and services shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The statement shall include the impact and mitigation works on the existing building fabric. All works shall be carried out in accordance with the approved scheme.

Reason: To enable the Local Planning Authority to retain control over this important detail in the interests of preserving the historic fabric and character of the building to accord with policy BE4 of the adopted Hinckley and Bosworth Local Plan.

**Consultations:-**

Director of Environment and Transport (Highways) has no objection to the application subject to conditions to improve the access to the site including hard surfacing for a minimum of 10 metres from the highway boundary, the gate being set back 10 metres behind the highway boundary and 6 metres kerbed radii either side of the access.

Councillor Snartt, Leicestershire County Councillor (Bradgate Division) objects to the application as he considers the size of the proposed building to be exceptionally large for the size of the land holding such that it will have an unacceptable adverse effect upon the character and appearance of the area close to the border of Anstey Parish and that insufficient information has been submitted to justify the size and scale of the building for the purposes proposed especially on such a small land holding.

Councillor Batty, Local Ward Member (Groby) has submitted further concerns relating to:-

- a) the future intentions of the applicant
- b) questioning the credibility of the application and limited information provided.

As part of the submission from Councillor Batty an additional response from Groby Parish Council was attached with the following comments:-

- a) no financial justification to support the viability of the building
- b) the plans demonstrate a lack of understanding by the applicant as to the practicalities of livestock farming
- c) the plans would result in a poorly ventilated and lit building resulting in animal welfare concerns (extract from The Welfare of Farmed Animals (England) Regulations 2007 was referred to)
- d) the design of the building would not result in good conditions for the storage of feedstuffs and bedding described within the Planning Statement
- e) visual intrusion into the open countryside
- f) this development would require on-site living to prevent and protect livestock from theft, thus resulting in greater visual impact.

An additional response care of Anstey Parish Council has been received objecting on similar grounds to those already included in the report:-

- h) contrary to the development plan
- i) detrimental to the conservation area
- j) overshadowing/overbearing
- k) inadequate drainage
- l) lack of bat/wildlife survey.

**Appraisal:-**Highway Safety

The Director of Environment and Transport (Highways) does not object but recommends conditions be imposed to ensure that improvements are carried out to the access. However, in view of the unrestricted agricultural use of the site, the imposition of conditions requiring the recommended improvements are not considered to meet the tests of Circular 11/95 and would, if implemented, have an adverse impact on the character and appearance of the site frontage in this rural location, as such this would not form a further reason for refusal.

Other issues

The site is not within a Conservation Area, its status as part of a Green Wedge is discussed in the main report. The building is not in a location or close proximity to any other structures to cause overshadowing

or overbearing impact on any other building. There is no evidence or reason to suggest that the building cannot be adequately drained and amended plans indicate that stormwater run-off from the building will be collected to provide water for the livestock. No bat or wildlife survey has been submitted but no mature trees will be affected by the proposed building and there is no evidence to suggest that any other protected species are present on the site which is laid to grass for the most part. Justification and the visual impact of the building is discussed within the main report. Animal welfare and storage of feedstuffs and bedding is not a material planning consideration. Any future applications or development for the site will be assessed on their individual planning merits.

---

**ITEM 06**

**11/00915/FUL**

**Sachkhand Nanak Dham**

**Introduction:-**

An amended plan has been submitted which proposes an amended access to the site. The plan has been submitted as an alternative to the construction of an additional internal access within the northern paddock which is owned by the applicants but leased to an adjacent occupier of Stretton Court. The amended plan proposes some relatively minor access widening measures to provide continuous 4.25 metres width along the access to facilitate two way traffic.

Amended plans have been received indicating alterations to the plans and elevations of the building to brick up windows facing the garden area of 1 Stretton Court and to provide velux windows in the opposite roof slope and to accurately reflect proposed details of the north elevation. An amended site location plan has been submitted indicating removal of a previously proposed entrance barrier within the site between Stretton House and Stretton Court. An amended site layout plan has been submitted to reflect the proposed alterations to the existing access drive, pruning of hedgerows, drainage and extraction routes within the site. An additional plan has been submitted providing details of the visibility splays from the access.

An additional Statement of Activities has been submitted in response to the consultation response of the Head of Community Services (Pollution).

**Consultations:-**

No objection has been received from:-

Environment Agency  
Directorate of Chief Executive (Archaeology).

No objection subject to conditions has been received from the Highways Agency in response to the amended plans received in relation to the removal of the additional internal access drive from the northern paddock and the proposed alterations to widen sections of the existing internal access drive.

No objection subject to conditions has been received from the Head of Community Services (Pollution).

Burbage Parish Council objects to the application on the following grounds:-

- a) unsatisfactory relationship with other nearby uses and detrimental to the amenities of the occupiers of those properties due to: loss of privacy, disturbance, emissions, light, overbearing effect, hours of working, noise and vehicular activity
- b) out of keeping with the character/appearance of the area
- c) overdevelopment of the site due to scale and mass
- d) toilet block is in an inappropriate location which vents to adjacent residential gardens
- e) access to A5 unsuitable, inadequate and hazardous
- f) controlling number of vehicles would be impossible and would be difficult to prohibit subsequent increases in traffic movements
- g) traffic flow on A5 will increase with other large scale developments e.g. MIRA and Logix Park
- h) a full consultation exercise should be carried out and site visits arranged to appreciate the relationship of adjacent residential properties to the development.

Seven additional neighbour letters have been received raising similar issues and concerns to those reported in the main agenda and in addition:-

t) loss of Great Crested Newt population in pond.

As a result of the receipt of amended plans and additional information, reconsultation has taken place and the consultation period now expires on 17 March 2012.

### **Appraisal:-**

#### Impact on Appearance and Character of the Area

Amended plans have been received to remove the proposed internal access drive from the northern paddock and, as an alternative, improve the width of sections of the existing driveway to enable the desired two way traffic movement along the drive. The revised proposals will have less impact on the appearance and character of the site than the previous proposals which were considered to be acceptable and are therefore also considered to be acceptable in appearance terms. The Council's Arboricultural Consultant considers that the widening of the existing driveway by approximately 1 metre between and opposite the existing protected trees should not adversely affect the trees subject to no excavation for any new kerb installation within 4 metres of the tree trunks. The drive does not currently have kerbs therefore the proposals are acceptable in respect of their impact on the protected trees. The issue raised in respect of land ownership of the northern paddock is therefore no longer relevant to the application.

#### Impact on Neighbours Amenities

Amended plans have been received to reflect proposed amendments to the toilet block adjacent to Stretton Court including the bricking up of existing windows on the west elevation facing the garden area of 1 Stretton Court and the provision of velux type windows on the east facing roof slope to provide daylight. A 'Statement of proposed Activities' has been submitted by the agent in response to the comments of the Head of Community Services (Pollution) and whilst no additional details of the proposed extraction and filtration systems from the kitchen and toilet block areas have been provided, the Head of Community Services (Pollution) does not object to the application subject to a condition requiring these details to be submitted for prior approval and implemented and operated as approved, and a condition to ensure that external music is not played.

#### Highway Safety

The proposed alterations to widen the existing internal drive to provide a minimum width of 4.5 metres along its length to allow two way traffic has been considered by the Highways Agency. Based on the traffic projections, the Highways Agency does not object to the proposals and considers that the enabling of two way traffic movement along the drive will help avoid queuing onto the A5. The possibility of a condition to restrict traffic to a left turn in and left turn out arrangement has been discussed with the Highways Agency. This option was not considered necessary by the agency as the change of use relates to specific range of uses, the peak generation associated with the uses has been verified with reference to similar community use activities within the TRICS system and on that basis they consider the level of trips associated with the use will not give rise to any significant increase in trip generation relative to the former residential use. The agency also advises that the existing access arrangement is compliant with Design Manual Roads and Bridges standards for its proposed intensification. The Highways Agency would be concerned by a left turn in and left turn out arrangement as it may result in potentially unsafe u-turn manoeuvres on the A5 or local roads. The imposition of such a condition may also disadvantage existing residents in Stretton Court.

#### Drainage

The amended plans indicate the proposed route and direction for a new foul connection being taken away from Stretton Court. The Environment Agency have no objection to the use of a Private Treatment



Plant to dispose of foul drainage in principle but advise that the system will require a separate Environment Permit and meet the agency's requirements.

**Recommendation:-**

**RECOMMENDATION:-** That the Head of Planning shall be granted powers to grant planning permission for the development subject to an Agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and Section 111 of the Local Government act 1972 or receipt of an acceptable Unilateral Undertaking under S106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to restrict the uses of the premises and the numbers of people and vehicles visiting the premises, and no new significant planning objections being received before the expiry of the consultation period on 17 March 2012 and subject to the following conditions:

Condition 2 amended as follows:-

The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out otherwise than in complete accordance with the submitted application details, as follows: Site Location Plan drawing no. 156-001B received by the local planning authority on 6 March 2012; Site Layout Plan drawing no. 156-002F; Proposed Ground Floor Plan drawing no. 156-005A; proposed First Floor Plan drawing no. 156-006A; Proposed Elevations drawing nos. 156-009A and 156-010A; Access Alterations Plan Drawing No. 156-SK-A4 and Visibility Splay Drawing No. 156-SK- A3 received by the local planning authority on 1 March 2012.

Condition 5 remove 'new access drive'

Additional non-standard condition requiring the submission of kitchen and toilet block extraction and filtration systems for prior approval and implementation and operation in accordance with the approved details.

Additional non-standard condition to ensure no external amplified music

Additional note to applicant in respect of the consultation response from the Environment Agency and further requirement in respect of the proposed Private Treatment Plant.

---

<b>Reference: 11/00360/OUT</b>	<b>Applicant: MIRA Technology Park Ltd</b>
<b>Location: Mira Ltd Watling Street Caldecote Nuneaton Warwickshire</b>	

**Introduction:-**

This report serves as an update for Members on the current position of the above application. Members will recall that the application was considered and recommended for approval at the meeting of the Planning Committee on 15 November 2010 in accordance with the following recommendation:-

*RECOMMENDATION:-*

*a) That the Secretary of State be notified, pursuant to the Town and Country Planning (Development Plans and Consultation) (Departures) Directions 1999 (the Directions), that the LPA is minded to grant permission subject to the conditions set out in the report and subject to the receipt of an undertaking pursuant to section 106 TCPA to secure off-site cycle network improvements,*

*b) That if the Secretary of State does not notify the LPA within the time frame set out in the Directions that he intends to issue a direction, then the Deputy Chief Executive (Community Direction) be granted authority to approve the application in accordance with (a) above.*

**Consultations:-**

Nuneaton & Bedworth Borough Council have now considered and verified the likely costing of the off site improvements to the Weddington Cycle Path and have confirmed that they have no objection to the transfer of funds in due course and the completion of the works.

The Secretary of State has considered the application and the resolution of the Planning Committee and has resolved to not call the application in.

**Development Plan Policies:-**

No changes.

**Appraisal:-**

Off Site Cycle Path Improvements

This matter is now fully resolved and the applicant is preparing a S.106 Agreement to oblige £700,500 to this Council in respect of the works to the Weddington Cycle Path. Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council will then transfer these funds to Nuneaton & Bedworth Borough Council for the completion of the works. The remainder of the cycle network improvements along the A5 corridor will be delivered by planning condition 31 as originally worded in the agenda item.

Secretary of State

In accordance with the requirements of part a) of the 15 November recommendation the application was referred to the Secretary of State for consideration on 13 December 2011. The Secretary of State has chosen to not call the application in for determination and has confirmed that the determination of the application can be by Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council. Accordingly, once the signed S.106 Agreement has been received the decision will be issued to MIRA.

North Warwickshire Borough Council Application

Due to the cross boundary nature of the proposal for the Technology Park, there is an agreement in place that the issuing of the respective decision by Hinckley & Bosworth and North Warwickshire will take place on the same day.

Conditions

Condition 3 as initially drafted failed to detail all of the parameter plans individually. It is proposed to reword the condition to refer to the plans in greater detail to be more precise.

**Recommendation:-**

**RECOMMENDATION:- That subject to the receipt of a signed Agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and Section III of the Local Government Act 1972 towards the off site cycle network improvements (Weddington Cycle Path), the Deputy Chief Executive (Community Direction) shall be granted delegated powers subject to the conditions previously agreed unless varied below and issue the decision as instructed thereafter.**

All conditions remain in accordance with the earlier agenda item and late item report unless amended further by this report.

Amend Condition 3 for clarity reasons to read:-

The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out otherwise than in complete accordance with the submitted application details, as follows:-

Site Location Plan 1:10,000 received 11 May 2011

Planning Parameters Report (including Parameter Plans PP2-PP5) Revision 2 June 2011 as amended by Plans PP2 and PP3 received 4 October 2011

ATC-10\_014-A\_2A-R2

ATC-10\_014-A\_2B-R1

ATC-10\_014-A\_2C-R2

---

**Agenda Item 10****APPEALS LODGED AND DETERMINED**

The following was omitted from the original report.

**5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS [MR]**

As set out in the report.

---

**PLANNING COMMITTEE**  
**6 MARCH 2012**  
**SPEAKERS**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Application</b>	<b>Speaker(s)</b>	<b>Applicant/objector</b>
01	12/00075/FUL	Mr Orton Keith Lawson-West	Objector For applicant
02	11/00815/FUL	Paul Worrall	Objector
04	11/00969/FUL	Nigel Axon	Agent
06	11/00915/FUL	Richard Martland John Lumsden	Objector Agent